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INCIDENT SUMMARY  
• The majority of reports were indirect (individuals were not targeted) nonlife threatening bias activity (Tier I) followed in frequency by direct (individuals were targeted) nonlife threatening bias activity (Tier II). There were two reported incidents of direct life threats (Tier III). In each of these reports the life threat was communicated through a mediated device and was not acted upon by the perpetrator.

• Residence Life was the most common source of reports followed by the Report Hate Web Site and, with an equal number of reports, Police Services and other Student Affairs offices.

• Twenty reports originated from the University Park campus and four from non-University Park campus locations. Four reports were incomplete, falsified, or recanted by the submitter and/or target.

• Students were the most commonly reported targets (14) followed in frequency by staff (4) and faculty (1). In reports where staff members were targeted, half of the reports (2) indicated they were targeted when they intervened in an incident that occurred between students.

• In reports where race was identified, the most common targets were Caucasian/Caucasian Americans and African/African Americans, followed by Asian Pacific Islander/Asian Pacific Americans. When Caucasian/Caucasian American males were targeted, it was most likely due to their perceived or actual sexual orientation.

• The most frequently reported motivation for bias incidents was real or perceived sexual orientation, followed in frequency by race/ethnicity, religious beliefs/spirituality, and gender.

• Vandalism/graffiti was the most commonly reported type of offense followed equally by verbal harassment and general intolerance (offensive behaviors). The least frequent type of offense was harassment by mediated communication and terroristic threats.

• The majority of incidents were reported to have taken place in residence halls and dining commons followed in equal number by campus grounds, University buildings, and the surrounding community.

• September was the most common month for reported incidents followed equally by August, October, and November. December was the least common month for reported incidents.

• More incidents were reported on Saturdays followed in frequency by Tuesdays, Fridays, and Wednesdays. Mondays were the least common day for reported incidents.

• The most common time of day for reported incidents was evening (5:00 – 11:00 p.m.), followed in frequency by day (10:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.), and lastly, night (11:00 p.m. – 4:00 a.m.).

• Alcohol usage was not determined to be a contributing factor in the majority of reported incidents. There was one confirmed report of alcohol usage.
Of the twenty-eight reports made to the University, four were incomplete, falsified, or recanted by the submitter and/or target and, as a result, were not included in this summary. In cases where follow-up was possible, the University provided support and resources for targets, investigated the incidents and, where appropriate, established educational sanctions and/or civil penalties equivalent to the actions of the perpetrators.
Number of Reports
The number of reports submitted to the university, as well as those that were incomplete, falsified, or recanted by submitters and/or targets.

Severity of Reports
We are using a three-tier classification of reported incidents based on whether individuals were targeted, there were perceived or actual life threats, and the behavior of the perpetrators was active or passive in nature. Tier I includes indirect and nonlife threatening (typically offensive behaviors) activities, Tier II includes direct (involve a specific target) and nonlife threatening activities, and Tier III includes direct activities that involved threat of or actual life threatening behaviors.
**Reporting Official**
The unit to which incidents were first reported.

**Campus Location**
The individual campuses within the multicampus system where targets and/or submitters reported incidents occurred.
**Faculty, Staff, or Student Status**  
The reported standing or relationship of the targets with the University.

![Faculty, Staff, or Student Status Chart]

**Gender of Target**  
The reported gender or gender identity of the targets.

![Gender of Target Bar Chart]
**Race of Target**  
The reported racial identity of the targets.

- African American: 25%  
- White/Caucasian American: 25%  
- Asian Pacific American: 9% (2)  
- Unknown: 13%  
- Nonspecific: 29%  
- Other: 0%  
- Unknown: 13%

**Targeted Issue**  
The perceived motivation for the incidents.

- Sexual Orientation: 48%  
- Race/Ethnicity: 31%  
- Spirituality: 14%  
- Gender/Gender Identity: 7%  
- Race/Ethnicity: 31%
**Type of Offense**
The reported types of wrongdoing perpetrated against the targets.

**Geographic Location**
The physical sites on campus or in the surrounding community where incidents allegedly occurred.
**Day of Incident**
The days the incidents were reported to have taken place.
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**Month of Incident**
The months the incidents were reported to have taken place.
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**Time of Incident**
The times the incidents were reported to have taken place.

![Bar chart showing the times of incidents with Day (10:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.), Evening (5:00-11:00 p.m.), Night (11:00 p.m.-4:00 a.m.), and Time Unknown categories.

**Alcohol Involved**
Incidents where alcohol was reported to be a contributing factor.

![Bar chart showing the alcohol involvement with Yes, No, and Unknown categories with 1, 8, and 15 incidents, respectively.]

For more information regarding this incident summary please contact:

Erik L. Malewski, Diversity Advocate for Students
Office of the Vice Provost for Educational Equity
122 Grange Building
University Park, PA 16802

Phone: 814-865-1773
E-mail: exm22@psu.edu